RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

AUG 2 5 2017

WILLIAM T. WALSH WASSEEM HAMDAN, Derivatively on Behalf of Lead Case No.: 3:16-cv-03706-PGS INTERCLOUD SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARK MUNRO, et al.,

Defendants,

INTERCLOUD SYSTEMS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

JOHN SCRUTCHENS, Derivatively on Behalf of INTERCLOUD SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARK MUNRO, et al.,

Defendants,

INTERCLOUD SYSTEMS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No.: 3:16-cv-04207-PGS

PROPOSED ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE

WHEREAS, the parties to the above-captioned consolidated shareholder derivative action (the "New Jersey Action") have made an application, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, for an order: (i) preliminarily approving the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated June 15, 2017 (the "Stipulation"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for the proposed settlement and dismissal of the New Jersey Action and the related Delaware Action with prejudice; and (ii) approving the form and

content of the Notice to Current InterCloud Stockholders (the "Notice"), in which InterCloud shall cause a press release to be issued that contains the contents of the Notice, cause a Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC that contains the contents of the Notice, and post on the Investor Relations portion of its website the Notice together with the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, all capitalized terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation (unless otherwise defined herein); and

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered the Stipulation and the exhibits annexed thereto, and all Settling Parties have consented to the entry of this Preliminary Approval Order,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court does hereby preliminarily approve, subject to further consideration at the Settlement Hearing described below, the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth therein, including the terms and conditions for settlement and dismissal with prejudice of the New Jersey Action.

The Settling Parties respectfully request that the Settlement Hearing be scheduled at least forty-five (45) days after the deadline for providing notice of the proposed Settlement to Current InterCloud Stockholders.

and enjoining the prosecution of any and all Released Claims; and (iii) whether Plaintiffs' Counsels' Fee Award, including any Service Awards, should be finally approved. At the Settlement Hearing, the Court may hear or consider such other matters as the Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

- 3. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation, and finds that the posting of such Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in this Order meets the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to Current InterCloud Stockholders and all other Persons entitled thereto.
- 4. Not later than ten (10) calendar days following entry of this Order, InterCloud shall: (i) cause a press release to be issued that contains the contents of the Notice; (ii) cause a Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC that contains the contents of the Notice; and (iii) post on the Investor Relations portion of its website the Notice together with the Stipulation.
- 5. All papers in support of the Settlement and the Fee Award, including any Service Awards, shall be filed with the Court and served at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the Settlement Hearing, and any reply papers shall be filed with the Court at least seven (7) days prior to the Settlement Hearing.
- 6. Any Current InterCloud Stockholder may object and/or appear and show cause, if he, she, or it has any concern, why the Settlement should not be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, why the Judgment should not be entered thereon, or why the Fee Award, including any Service Awards, should not be finally approved; provided, however, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, that no Current InterCloud Stockholder shall be heard or

Case 3:16-cv-03706-PGS-LHG Document 28-6 Filed 07/10/17 Page 5 of 8 PageID: 817

entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the Settlement, or, if approved, the Judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, or the Fee Award, unless that Stockholder has, at least fourteen (14) days prior to the Settlement Hearing: (1) filed with the Clerk of the Court a written objection to the Settlement setting forth: (a) the nature of the objection; (b) proof of ownership of InterCloud common stock through the date of the Settlement Hearing, including the number of shares of InterCloud common stock held and the date of purchase; (c) any and all documentation or evidence in support of such objection; and (d) the identities of any cases, by name, court, and docket number, in which the Stockholder or his, her, or its attorney has objected to a settlement in the last three years; and (2) if a Current InterCloud Stockholder intends to appear and requests to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, such Stockholder must have, in addition to the requirements of (1) above, filed with the Clerk of the Court: (a) a written notice of such Stockholder's intention to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (b) a statement that indicates the basis for such appearance; (c) the identities of any witnesses the Stockholder intends to call at the Settlement Hearing and a statement as to the subjects of their testimony; and (d) any and all evidence that would be presented at the Settlement Hearing. If a Current InterCloud Stockholder files a written objection and/or written notice of intent to appear, such Stockholder must also simultaneously serve copies of such notice, proof, statement, and documentation, together with copies of any other papers or briefs such Stockholder files with the Court (either by hand delivery or by first class mail) upon each of the following:

Thomas J. McKenna GAINEY McKENNA & EGLESTON 440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor New York, NY 10016

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs in the New Jersey Action Toby S. Soli GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166

Counsel for Defendants

Any Current InterCloud Stockholder who does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner provided herein shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement or the Fee Award, including any Service Awards, as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, but shall be forever bound by the Judgment to be entered, the dismissal of the New Jersey Action with prejudice, and any and all of the releases set forth in the Stipulation.

- 7. At least ten (10) business days prior to the Settlement Hearing, Defendants' Counsel shall serve on Gainey McKenna & Egleston, and file with the Court, proof, by affidavit or declaration, of the publication, filing, and posting of the Notice.
- 8. All Current InterCloud Stockholders shall be bound by all orders, determinations, and judgments in the New Jersey Action concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable to Current InterCloud Stockholders.
- 9. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, neither Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel, nor any Current InterCloud Stockholders or other Persons, either directly, representatively, or derivatively on behalf of InterCloud, or in any other capacity, shall commence or prosecute, or in any way instigate or participate in the commencement or prosecution of, any action or proceeding asserting any Released Claims against any of the Individual Defendants, InterCloud, or any other Released Person, in any court or tribunal.
- 10. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Delaware Plaintiff has agreed that, within five (5) business days after the entry of this Order, he shall notify the Delaware Court of Chancery of the issuance of this Order, attaching a courtesy copy of this Order.

- 11. The fact and terms of the Stipulation, including any exhibits attached thereto, all proceedings in connection with the Settlement, and any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement:
 - (a) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way against the Settling Parties as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, a presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Settling Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity, or lack thereof, of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Actions or in any litigation, or the deficiency or infirmity of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Actions or in any litigation, or of any fault, wrongdoing, negligence, or liability of any of the Released Persons;
 - (b) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way against any of the Released Persons as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, a presumption, concession, or admission of any fault, misrepresentation or omission with respect to any statement or written document approved, issued, or made by any Released Person, or against Plaintiffs as evidence of any infirmity in their claims; or
 - (c) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way against any of the Released Persons as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, a presumption, concession, or admission of any liability, fault, negligence, omission or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against the Released Persons, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance thereof, shall be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose, except to enforce the terms of the Settlement; provided,

Case 3:16-cv-03706-PGS-LHG Document 31 Filed 08/25/17 Page 7 of 7 PageID: 1052

Case 3:16-cv-03706-PGS-LHG Document 28-6 Filed 07/10/17 Page 8 of 8 PageID: 820

however, that if finally approved, the Released Persons may refer to the Settlement, and

file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment, in any action that may be brought against them

to effectuate the liability protections granted them thereunder, including, without

limitation, to support a defense or claim based on principles of res judicata, collateral

estoppel, full faith and credit, release, standing, good faith settlement, judgment bar or

reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or

claim under U.S. federal or state law or foreign law.

12. If the Stipulation is terminated pursuant to its terms, or the Effective Date does

not otherwise occur, all proceedings in the New Jersey Action will revert to their status as of the

date immediately preceding the date of the Stipulation.

13. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Settlement Hearing or

modify any other dates set forth herein without further notice to Current InterCloud

Stockholders, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or

connected with the Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement and any of its terms, with

such modifications as may be agreed to by the Settling Parties, if appropriate, without further

notice to Current InterCloud Stockholders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: '

_

HONORABLE PETER G. SHERIDAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7